Gyrokinetic Simulation of Turbulent Transport for I-mode Edge Plasmas

2 1991 Hongwei Yang¹, Tianchun Zhou², Yong Xiao¹

³ ¹Institute for Fusion Theory and Simulation, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China *²Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China*

E-mail: [yxiao@zju.edu.cn;](mailto:yxiao@zju.edu.cn) tczhou@hit.edu.cn

Abstract

I-mode is an attractive candidate among the confinement regimes proposed for burning ⁸ operation with good energy confinement similar to H-mode but poor particle confinement ⁹ similar to L-mode, resulting in no obvious impurity accumulation and free of large ELMs. Although I-mode has been recently achieved experimentally on several tokamaks, theory and ¹¹ simulation remain insufficient to explain the I-mode formation and its peculiar transport 12 behavior. In this work, an electrostatic gyrokinetic simulation using GTC is carried out for 13 the I-mode plasma profiles. Linear simulations reveal that two competitive instabilities coexist within the range of short and long wavelengths during I-mode phase, respectively. It is found that the passing electron response cannot be treated adiabatically for those modes with mode numbers close to that of weekly coherent mode (WCM), which is considered to be a major player in the I-mode formation and responsible for its unusual transport behavior. Nonlinear simulations yield a turbulent heat transport level that is comparable to the experimental data. However, the particle transport mechanism remains elusive, and requires further investigation.

21 Keywords: I-mode, weakly coherent mode, L-I transition

1. INTRODUCTION AND SIMULATION MODEL 23

I-mode is an intermediate confinement regime in tokamaks between L-mode and H-24 25 mode, which was first discovered on ASDEX Upgrade [1] as a transient regime and originally named "Improved L-mode". During the last decade, researchers on the Alcator C-Mod 26 tokamak have achieved I-mode a stationary operation regime with its lifetime more than 10 ²⁸ times of the energy confinement time τ_E [2]. What makes this regime most special and 29 intriguing is that the energy and particle transport channels in the edge region are decoupled, $i.e.,$ it exhibits low energy transport across the last closed flux surface (LCFS), while higher 31 particle transport than that of energy. Furthermore, this regime is free of explosive edge $\frac{32}{2}$ localized modes (ELMs) and as a result of high level of particle transport, there is no apparent impurity accumulation. Thus I-mode is often considered to be an alternative regime for 33 ³⁴ burning plasma operation in parallel with the conventional ELMy or ELM-free H-mode, 35 which needs extra control of impurity accumulation level and strategies for heavy impurities 36 and helium ash removal to avoid significant energy confinement deterioration. To create an ³⁷ I-mode experimentally, people start with L-mode in the magnetic geometry with unfavorable ³⁸ ion $B \times \nabla B$ drift, then L-I transition occur when keeping heating plasmas in various ways 39 with power threshold two times higher than that needed for the direct L-H transition in favorable magnetic geometry, and finally the plasma enters the I-mode regime [3-5]. During 40 $t⁴¹$ this process the edge plasma temperature gradient especially for electrons would increases 42 gradually to the level of the conventional H-modes, while the density gradient changes 43 insignificantly. Meanwhile, edge fluctuations with low frequency disappear and turbulence with broad frequency band pops up in the middle range around 150-400 kHz in lab frame 45 and is believed to play a major role in sustaining the two decoupled transport channels and 146 the whole I-mode regime. These high frequency fluctuations are termed WCM, which can be 47 detected from the signals of fluctuations of density, temperature as well as poloidal magnetic ⁴⁸ field. Typically, the relative fluctuation level of density in I-mode is about 10% , that of 49 magnetic field less than 1% and that of temperature around 1% [3]. Recently I-mode plasmas 50 with features similar to those on C-Mod have also been created on DIII-D [4, 6], ASDEX Upgrade [3, 6] and EAST [5]. 51

On the theory and simulation side, a few investigators [7-12] have provided some 52 53 preliminary understandings of I-mode, but challenging issues like the essence of WCM, the mechanism responsible for L-I transition, the decoupling of two transport channels remains 54 to be tackled. Since the long pulse I-mode has been achieved for the first time on C-Mod [13], 55 56 a tokamak with high toroidal field, people naturally think of high field as an advantage in 57 accessing I-mode as well as sustaining it from transitioning to the H-mode. Recently Whyte 58 et al. have launched a new programme named SPARC [14], which emphasizes particularly 59 the high field approach to burning plasmas, to advance research in this respect. The primary 60 goal of this paper is to investigate the fluctuations and their transport properties in the I-mode 61 plasmas through massive gyrokinetic simulations using GTC (Gyrokinetic Toroidal Code) 62 [15]. We have conducted a series of global gyrokinetic simulations, using the profiles from the discharge $\#1120907023$ on Alcator C-Mod [6, 7, 16]. Since in the I-mode plasmas the 64 density fluctuation level is much higher than that of magnetic fluctuation [3, 9] and the local β β is estimated to be less than 0.1% around the temperature pedestal of the I-mode, the 66 electrostatic approximation will be adopted as a first attempt of our I-mode study via 67 gyrokinetic simulation. Given the characteristic frequencies and wavelengths of the I-mode 68 plasma fluctuations, the ion response is described by gyrokinetics and that of the electron by drift kinetics [17, 18], and both passing and trapped particles are retained in the simulation. 69

The simulation domain is restricted in the edge region of tokamak around 0.8~0.99 70 ⁷¹ minor radius of the plasma column with $R_0 = 0.67m$, $a = 0.22m$, where the WCM and temperature pedestal are located. The two normalized gradient length scales of local electron ⁷³ and ion temperatures are taken as $R_0/L_{Te} = R_0/L_{Ti} = 142$, and those of local density as

74 $R_0/L_{ne} = R_0/L_{ni} = 42$. Other parameters adopted are $T_{e,95} = 700 eV$, $n_{e,95} = 1 \times 10^{20} m^{-3}$, local ⁷⁵ safety factor $q_{95} = 3.5$ and on-axis magnetic field $B_0 = 5.8T$, which gives rise to the local ⁷⁶ ratio $a/\rho_s = 472$ with gyroradius $\rho_s \equiv C_s/\Omega_c$ at the reference point, where ion sound speed $C_s = \sqrt{T_e / m_p}$, m_p is proton mass and $\Omega_c = eB_0 / m_p$. We select deuterium (D) as the main $\frac{78}{100}$ ion species in the simulation. By ignoring plasma shaping, the magnetic flux surfaces are ⁷⁹ simply assumed to be concentrically circular.

2. VALIDATION OF I-MODE EDGE PROPERTIES 80

To validate nonlinear GTC simulation, we need to compare turbulence characteristics 81 82 and transport level from the simulation with the experimental features exhibited in I-mode plasmas. Here we list a number of important characteristics of the I-mode plasmas from C-83 84 Mod discharge #1120907023 [6]: there exists characteristic turbulent fluctuations called WCM , which propagate in electron diamagnetic drift (EDD) direction with frequency around $150-400$ kHz in the lab frame; it is also observed that a geodesic acoustic mode (GAM) 87 coexists with the WCM; the turbulent effective heat conductivity is measured to be about $0.2m^2$ / s, and the particle transport is four times larger [7, 19]. 88

As shown in Fig. 2, our nonlinear simulation successfully demonstrates that the 89 ⁹⁰ nonlinear edge heat conductivities for ion and electron, χ_i and χ_e , are in the same level as the ⁹¹ experimental values. However, the particle diffusivities for both species, D_i and D_e , are $\frac{92}{9}$ significantly lower than those from experiment diagnostics. This suggests that the particle 93 and energy transport decoupling observed in the I-mode experiment cannot be reproduced by 94 our gyrokinetic simulation in the electrostatic limit. This further implies that the dominant 195 turbulence such as the WCM could not be completely treated as electrostatic, although the 96 edge density fluctuation level of WCM is one order of magnitude higher than that of poloidal

⁹⁷ magnetic field and the edge local β_e value is small enough so that the traditional drift wave 98 turbulence can be safely treated as electrostatic. Surprisingly, we found that the turbulence ⁹⁹ driven by the I-mode profiles can self-generate GAM oscillations and low frequency zonal flow (LFZF), that have been observed in all I-mode experiments [20, 21]. Shown in Fig. 3 100 (a) $\&$ (b) are the evolution of the perturbed electrostatic potential averaged over the reference 102 magnetic surface, where the change of color with time over the narrow edge region in the 103 form of strips indicates that there exists the component of oscillations with mode numbers 104 $n=0$ and $m=0$, which is for GAM and LFZF. Simple estimation based on the periodic ¹⁰⁵ change shown in Fig. 3 (a) $\&$ (b) reveals that the frequency normalized to GAM frequency 106 predicted from ideal magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) in high safety factor q region is $\omega_{GAM}^{simulation} \approx 1.45 \omega_{GAM}^{MHD}$ with $\omega_{GAM}^{MHD} \approx \sqrt{2(1+q^{-2})^2}$ $\omega_{GAM}^{simulation} \approx 1.45 \omega_{GAM}^{MHD}$ with $\omega_{GAM}^{MHD} \approx \sqrt{2}(1+q^{-2}/2)C_s/R_0$ and $C_s \equiv \sqrt{T_e/m_p}$ [22], which is 108 very close to the characteristic order of GAM. Shown in Fig. 4 is the frequency spectrum during nonlinear saturation process in the temporal window bounded by the two vertical 109 dashed lines in Fig. 5, which ranges over a WCM-like signal near the frequency $4.8C_s/R_0$, 110 a GAM signal near the frequency $1.6C_s / R_0$ and extremely low frequency for LFZF. 111

3. TURBULENCE SATURATION MECHANISMS AND ROLES OF GAM/LFZF 112

113 In this set of nonlinear validation simulations, we find out that the turbulence saturates 114 twice in succession, one phase of gently linear growth and saturation followed by another 115 phase of more explosive linear growth and saturation, which is somewhat atypical, as illustrated by the blue solid line in Fig. 5 for time evolution of the electron heat conductivity . 116 This suggests that there may exist two distinct instabilities in two consecutive stages which 118 are labeled respectively as the first and second instabilities. The first instability dominates 119 the earlier nonlinear process and the second one dominates the later phase. In a typical 120 nonlinear saturation process, the dominant unstable mode, which is the most unstable one 121 determined by linear dispersion relation, undergoes exponential growth and gets saturated by 122 wave-wave interaction or wave-particle interaction [15, 23], then the turbulent plasma enters 123 the nonlinear saturation stage and stays in steady state. However, in the nonlinear processes 124 shown in Figs. 4 and 5, right after the first instability becomes saturated and its level gets 125 lowered down somewhat by some dissipation for a while, the second instability is then 126 excited and takes over. Then the energy transport levels for both species are boosted by 127 another explosive growth of the second instability, to much higher level, e.g., about five times that of the first stage, when new nonlinear saturation is reached. 128

To verify our theory that the two instabilities are of different types, we need to examine 129 130 the time evolution of mode wavelength for each of them in nonlinear process, that is, we need to analyze their poloidal mode spectra, as is exhibited in Fig. 6. Around t=2.5 R_0 / C_s in Fig.6 131 132 (a), the first unstable mode grows exponentially which correspond to the first uphill of the ¹³³ blue/solid curve in Fig.5 with central perpendicular wavenumber $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.8776$ shown by the ¹³⁴ vertical dashed lines in Fig. 6 (a) & (b). This wavelength is comparable to that of trapped 135 electron mode (TEM), which is a typical short wavelength instability, whereas this poloidal ¹³⁶ wavelength is shorter than that inferred from the WCM. Around t=3.75 R_0 / C_s , as is shown in $Fig. 6$ (b) which corresponds to the first downhill of the blue/solid curve in Fig.5, the ¹³⁸ spectrum peaks at $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.13$ as is marked by the left vertical dashed line. Meanwhile, the 139 peaks around $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.8776$ are dwindling drastically relative to the surroundings. We note that $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.13$ is very close to the measured poloidal wavenumber of WCM reported in [24]. 140 This means the energy carried by the modes with short wavelengths has been inversely ¹⁴² cascaded to the long wavelength WCM-like modes. Around $t=6R_0 / C_s$ and afterwards, the second instability undergoes an exponential growth and reaches a much higher saturation 144 level as is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 (c), and the value of $k_{\theta} \rho_s$ is eventually locked around

 145 0.13, which is consistent with the poloidal wavenumber of WCM in the experiment. During 146 the growth of second linear instability and nonlinear saturation the first instability with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.8776$ disappears completely in the poloidal spectra. 147

148 On the other hand, when we use some numerical technique to filter out those modes ¹⁴⁹ with short wavelengths around $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.8776$ corresponding to toroidal mode number 150 $n \ge 50$ in our nonlinear simulation, the results plotted in Fig. 7 illustrate that, although the first stage of linear growth and saturation disappears, the final saturation levels of both heat 151 152 conductivities and particle diffusivities do not change significantly, comparing with those 153 simulations with short wavelength modes retained. Whether or not the first instability is filtered out, the electrostatic fluctuating potential for WCM turbulence maintains almost the same level, and the turbulence potential cascade nonlinearly into the same type of long ¹⁵⁶ wavelength mode with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.13$. This indicates that although the first stage exponential 157 growth of the modes with shorter wavelength ($n \ge 50$) raises the transport level by a small ¹⁵⁸ amount, apparently, they do not play determining role when the system evolves into the 159 ultimate nonlinear saturation phase. Therefore, between the two instabilities with distinct ¹⁶⁰ spatial scales, it is the mode with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.13$ that behaves like WCM in the I-mode determines the transport level, and hence could be identified as the WCM. 161

162 It is well-known that GAM/LFZF has the capability of regulating turbulence and ¹⁶³ improving confinement quality indirectly. One naturally expects that the regulation of 164 GAM/LFZF remains valid for the I-mode. In fact, the experiments [21, 23] have revealed 165 that: (1) GAM sucks energy from the WCM turbulence and broadens the frequency band of 166 the latter through nonlinear interaction; (2) GAM and WCM vanishes simultaneously when 167 the plasmas change from I-mode to flow-up ELM-free H-mode when heating power is above 168 some threshold [2, 3, 19]. However, it remains elusive to what extent the regulating role is 169 played by GAM/LFZF in I-mode plasmas. We therefore conduct two contrasting nonlinear

simulations to examine the influences of GAM/LFZF on WCM and its transport properties. In one of such simulations, we calculate the electron heat conductivity induced by the WCM 172 turbulence with the self-generated GAM/LFZF retained and the time history of this transport 173 coefficient is plotted in Fig. 5 as blue/solid curve, in the other the response of GAM/LFZF is filtered out and the resultant electron heat conductivity by turbulence alone is shown in Fig. 5 as red/dashed curve. By turning on and off the contributions of GAM/LFZF response, we 176 find out that both the first and second instabilities are strongly regulated by them. However, ¹⁷⁷ the second instability is evidently more affected, as not only its saturation level drops down more but also it oscillates more violently, after reaching its peak value when entering the nonlinear phase.

4. LINEAR PHYSICS OF I-MODE

 Following the traditional procedure of exploring generic nonlinear physics, in order to gain deeper understanding of WCM turbulence, it is not sufficient to work on the nonlinear simulation alone. In fact, we conduct a series of linear simulations to see how the linear dispersion relation behaves and to identify the dominant unstable mode at each linear stage of the two distinct evolution processes in our previous nonlinear simulation.

4.1 Linear Dispersion Relation for the Instabilities

In our linear simulations, we first work on single fixed toroidal mode number n to capture the most unstable poloidal mode, and then vary the n number to get the frequency 189 and linear growth rate for each mode that needs to be identified. Firstly, we retain all ingredients of gyrokinetic ion response and all those of drift-kinetic electron in our linear simulations. In this case, as is shown in Fig. 8 (b), there are two comparable peaks of linear 192 growth rate, whose location are very close to $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.16$ and $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.85$, respectively, i.e., 193 the two normalized poloidal wave numbers marked in Fig. 6 for the two dominant instabilities ¹⁹⁴ in preceding nonlinear simulations. Thus, the mode with maximum growth rate around ¹⁹⁵ $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.85$ corresponds to the first exponentially growing phase shown in Fig. 5, and the ¹⁹⁶ one with peaked growth rate around $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.16$ to the second linear growing phase in Fig. 197 5, which accounts for the WCM observed in experiment [24]. Moreover, we note that the linear growth rate for the mode with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.85$ is slightly larger than that with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.16$, 198 199 and the first unstable mode grows faster and saturates earlier. This is also consistent with our previous observation for the dynamic evolution of these two modes in the nonlinear 200 201 saturation process, that is, the more unstable mode exponentially grows faster and saturates earlier in nonlinear evolutions. 202

Upon inspecting the mode frequencies and the directions of phase velocity shown in Fig. 203 8 (a), we find out that there are three categories of modes: (1) the most unstable modes with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.85$, which is marked as the "1st unstable" in Fig. 8 (b) and propagates in EDD with ²⁰⁶ frequencies in the range of typical ion scale drift instabilities such as TEM; (2) the unstable ²⁰⁷ mode with $k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.16$, which is marked as "2nd unstable" in Fig. 8 (b), and also propagates ²⁰⁸ in EDD with frequency close to the electron transit frequency ω_{μ} ; (3) the unstable modes with poloidal wave numbers somewhere between previous two types $(0.4 \lt k_{\theta} \rho_{s} \lt 0.8)$, 209 210 which propagates in the ion diamagnetic drift direction (IDD) and have frequencies close to ²¹¹ the frequency ω_{ϵ_i} or frequency of typical ion drift waves, such as ion temperature gradient 212 (ITG) mode. Furthermore, upon switching off the non-adiabatic response of passing electrons 213 and keeping the trapped electron response only, we find out that only the second type unstable 214 mode (the type with highest frequency) are sensitively affected in such a way that both its frequency and linear growth rate drop down substantially. On the other hand, it is well-known 215 216 that the passing electron response is close to adiabatic for typical ion drift waves, and the

217 dispersion relation of this type of modes is not expected to be affected sensitively by 218 switching on or off the non-adiabatic passing electron response. The existence of significant non-adiabatic response for the passing electron indicates that the second instability, 219 consistent with the WCM observed experimentally in mode frequency, wavelength, as well 220 221 as propagation direction, belongs to the certain type of instabilities different from 222 conventional drift waves.

Based on the preceding facts, we conclude that the high frequency branch with longer 223 ²²⁴ wavelength ($k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.16$) is unlikely to be either TEM or ITG, while the low frequency ²²⁵ branch with shorter wavelength ($k_{\theta} \rho_s \approx 0.85$) should be TEM. In addition, when the ion ²²⁶ temperature gradient is lowered down to be comparable to that of ion density ($\eta_i \approx 1$), shown 227 as the red/dashed curves in Fig. 9, the high frequency branch persists with slight upswing of 228 frequency. Thus, it is fair to say that the contribution of ion temperature gradient to this ²²⁹ branch is not very relevant. So ITG mode must be ruled out as the candidate for WCM at least in the sense of linear instability drive. 230

231 **4.2 I-mode Formation Mechanism**

Now we focus on the high frequency mode of with $k_{\theta} \rho_i = 0.16$ or $n = 20$, which is the 233 typical toroidal mode number of WCM observed in the C-Mod experiment [24]. As has been 234 checked in Subsection 4.1, the existence of this branch is insensitive to the ion temperature ²³⁵ gradient, thus it can be safely frozen by setting $\eta_i = 1.0$ in the following analysis. We ²³⁶ decrease η_e from 4.0 to 1.0 by reducing the electron temperature gradient while keeping electron density gradient fixed. Here the smallest value 1.0 for η_e corresponds to the 238 electron profiles that are almost the same as those of ions, a situation resembling the back transition from I-mode to L-mode. As shown in Fig. 10 (a), whether or not the passing 239

electron response is retained in the simulation, there always exists a narrow threshold band ²⁴¹ of η_e centered around $\eta_{ec} \simeq 2.0$, across which the L-I transition occurs, indicated by the big 242 mode frequency jump. Below this band, the influence of non-adiabatic response of passing 243 electron on the viable instability becomes irrelevant and the corresponding instability should ²⁴⁴ be TEM that propagates with characteristic frequency around $\omega_{*_{e}}$ in EDD. Meanwhile, the 245 plasma profiles degrade to those for a typical L-mode plasma, which agrees with the common ²⁴⁶ picture that TEM grows most easily at the plasma edge for the L-mode plasmas. However, ²⁴⁷ as η_e is increased above the threshold band, the mode frequency jumps up abruptly to the ²⁴⁸ values that are close to the electron transit frequency ω_{te} , which is located in the range of the higher frequency branch aforementioned in Section 3 and Subsection 4.1. Moreover, for a 249 wide range of η_e above the threshold band, the non-adiabatic response of passing electrons 250 251 produces a huge change in the mode frequency as well as a mild change in the growth rate. 252 The heavy dependence on passing electrons excludes this mode from some typical drift wave 253 instabilities such as TEM. In this range the plasma profiles become similar to those of I-mode plasmas. As we know, the typical I-mode plasmas come along with the existence of 254 255 temperature barrier and the absence of particle barrier in the edge region, which complies ²⁵⁶ with a large edge temperature gradient or η_e . Thus, the mode-jumping across critical values of η_e may be relevant to the transition mechanism from L-mode to I-mode or vice versa, 257 258 and in terms of frequency range, the high frequency mode or its derivative mode is likely to account for the WCM widely observed in the I-mode plasmas. All these similarities cannot 260 easily be counted as just coincidences. Instead, there are new evidences that the high 261 frequency mode is indeed WCM, besides those offered by the nonlinear simulations.

5. COLLISIONAL EFFECTS 262

So far collisionality has been completely ignored in our simulation. In the I-mode 263

 264 plasmas, the density profile becomes flat, and the edge temperature is significantly lower 265 than the core temperature, which is similar to conventional H-mode plasmas. The edge 266 collisionality is expected to be higher than that in the core region and the edge plasmas may 267 not be collisionless. Hence, it is better to check how the collisionality affects the instability 268 and transport in the I-mode plasmas. To this end, we add collisional effects by implementing 269 a pitch-angle scattering process for electrons in the simulation, the local effective electron ²⁷⁰ collision frequency v_e^* is found to be $v_e^* \approx 0.33$ according to Ref. [25-27]. The nonlinear simulation is repeated for this collisional case, and the heat conductivity, particle diffusivity 271 272 and GAM/LFZF saturation level are shown in Fig. 11 (a), (b) & (c). These are contrast plots ²⁷³ for the electron heat conductivities, electron particle diffusivities, and GAM/LFZF saturation levels from the fluctuations of electrostatic potential respectively, between the case with 274 275 collisions (red dashed curve) and the case without collisions or collisionless (blue solid 276 curve). The downshifts of the two transport coefficients at each time step and the delayed peaks reveal that both the first and second instabilities are partially stabilized by the electron 277 278 collisional effects. In particular, the nonlinear saturation level of the second instability is 279 lowered down by the collisional effects more enormously and significantly. This difference 280 can also be detected from the GAM/LFZF saturation levels, see Fig. 11 (c). For the first 281 exponential growth and saturation, the collisional effect on the GAM/LFZF is marginal. But 282 for the second one, GAM/LFZF are visibly damped by the electron collision, in which the turbulence was supposed to induce more severe transport than the collisionless case [28, 29]. 283 284 In fact, we observe the contrary trend in the transport level, i.e., the turbulent transport with physical collisions is less than the collisionless turbulent transport shown in Fig. 11 (a) $\&$ (b), 285 286 which suggests much less linear drive in the case with collisions and the damping effect of 287 collisions play a major role. Therefore, the electron collisional effect in this I-mode scenario is different from that in either dissipative trapped electron mode (DTEM) or resistive 288 289 ballooning mode (RBM), since both DTEM and RBM are normally destabilized by collisional effects in this weak collisional regime. Based on the preceding observations and considerations, both DTEM and RBM can be excluded from the candidates of WCM.

6. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we present a novel understanding of the mechanism of I-mode formation and the essence of the associated WCM, based on our electrostatic GTC simulations with the profiles that are taken directly from the experimental I-mode data on C-Mod. In particular, 296 our nonlinear simulations have two major findings: (1) a long wavelength instability with ²⁹⁷ high frequency in the typical range of local electron transit frequency ω_{te} dominates the nonlinear evolution of the I-mode edge plasmas, and this instability resembles WCM in I- mode plasmas in many aspects, such as mode wavelength, frequency spectra of edge fluctuations, energy transport levels and characteristics of profiles evolution from L-mode to I-mode transitions; (2) GAM oscillations with characteristic frequency close to $\omega_{GAM}^{MHD} \approx \sqrt{2}(1+q^{-2}/2)C_s/R_0$ are identified to be self-generated by the turbulence nonlinear interaction. Up to now, almost all I-mode experiments have observed [5, 21, 30] that GAM co-exists with WCM fluctuations when plasmas transition from L-mode to I-mode occurred. To our best knowledge, this is the first time that this universal experimental phenomenon has 306 been verified from our first principle gyrokinetic simulations. Meanwhile, the level of effective heat conductivity out of our simulations is consistent with the experimental values. All these successes strongly suggest that we have captured many essential physics of the I- μ mode plasmas, except that the particle transport level from our simulation is too low to justify the transport decoupling. This imply that the transport decoupling of I-mode cannot be reproduced in the electrostatic simulation. To see this decoupling, we need to resort to electromagnetic simulation.

As for the linear simulation on I-mode, we have discovered a newly high frequency

unstable mode exhibiting many features that are similar to those for WCM in our linear simulation results. In particular, this mode has a frequency in the range of local electron ³¹⁶ transit frequency ω_e in the plasma frame and propagates in EDD. The mode wavelength is also similar to that of WCM. These two features owned simultaneously by the instability: high frequency (fast time scale), long wavelength (large space scale) and the non- adiabatically passing electron response exclude TEM, especially collisionless trapped 320 electron mode (CTEM) as a candidate for WCM in I-mode plasmas. Furthermore, we find 321 out that finite electron collisional effects can stabilize this mode, so both DTEM and RBM are ruled out. Moreover, since the growth rate of this mode is not sensitive to the local value δ^{223} of η_i , ITG mode is also ruled out as the dominant linear instability for WCM. By varying the scale length of electron temperature and density gradient, we have pinpointed the high frequency mode down to an instability, driven by electron temperature gradient with long wavelength and high frequency. All these features strongly suggest that there exist a new type 327 of instability sustaining the I-mode, which is, however, not listed in the zoo of instabilities ever documented before (see, e.g., [31]). Further analytical and numerical studies are desired to give a clear identification of this mode.

ACKNOWELEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NSFC under Grant NO. 11975201, National Magnetic Confinement Fusion Energy Research Program of China under Grant No. 2015GB110000.

Fig. 1 Edge profiles of I-mode [6].

³⁴¹ Fig. 2 Radial profiles of ion/electron heat conductivities χ_i / χ_e and particle diffusivities D_i / D_e .

Fig. 3 (a) Radial structures of GAM/LFZF and their time evolutions; (b) time evolution of GAM/LFZF intensity at the diagnostic point $r = r_{ref}$, $\theta = 0$, $\zeta = 0$.

Fig. 4 Power spectrum of electrostatic potential at $r = r_{ref}$, $\theta = 0$ and $\zeta = 0$. The peaks 350 around $\omega = 0.5, 1.4, 4.8$ (in unit C_s/R_0) are identified with LFZF, GAM and WCM, *respectively.*

Fig. 5 Time evolution of electron heat conductivities induced by CCM and the regulation by GAM/LFZF on it. Bounded by the two vertical dashed lines is the time in which the power spectra over frequency has been analyzed, as shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 6 Poloidal spectra measured at three moments corresponding to those on the blue/solid curve in Fig. 5.

Fig. 7 Simulation with filtering out short wavelength modes have the same saturation level as that retaining full poloidal spectral. (a), Time history of electron heat conductivity. (b), Time history of electron particle diffusivity.

Fig. 8 Linear dispersion relations of I-mode edge plasmas. (a), Real frequency ω_r vs. $k_\theta \rho_s$. 369 (b), Linear growth rate γ vs. $k_{\theta} \rho_s$. Positive ω_r corresponds to the poloidal mode phase ³⁷⁰ velocity in EDD. Marked on ω_r -axis with asterisks are the heights of ω_e , ω_{be} , ω_{i} and ω_{bi} . The *blue crosses are for the case retaining non-adiabatic response of passing electrons while the red triangles for the case with that being turned off.*

³⁷⁵ $Fig. 9$ η_i -sensitivity of the higher frequency mode. (a), Real frequency ω_r vs. $k_\theta \rho_s$. (b), Linear growth rate γ vs. $k_{\theta} \rho_s$. The blue cross is for the case with the value of η_i calculated from the I- mode profiles, i.e. $\eta_{i} \gg 1$, while the red star for the case with $\eta_{i} = 1$.

Fig. 10 L-I transition and instability-jumping as ^e crosses critical values. (a) Real frequency ω_r *vs.* $k_{\theta} \rho_s$; (b) Linear growth rate γ *vs.* $k_{\theta} \rho_s$.

Fig. 11 Electron collisional effects on the transport properties in I-mode. (a), The electron heat conductivity is lowered by collisional effects. (b), The electron particle diffusivity is lowered by collisional effects. (c), The GAM/LFZF saturation level is lowered by collisional effects

REFERENCES

 [1] Ryter F, Suttrop W, Brusehaber B, Kaufmann M, Mertens V, Murmann H, Peeters A G, Stober J, Schweinzer J, Zohm H and Team A U 1998 H-mode power threshold and transition in ASDEX Upgrade Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion **40** 725-9

 [2] Whyte D G, Hubbard A E, Hughes J W, Lipschultz B, Rice J E, Marmar E S, Greenwald M, Cziegler 395 I, Dominguez A, Golfinopoulos T, Howard N, Lin L, McDermott R M, Porkolab M, Reinke M L,

- [20] Dimits A M, Bateman G, Beer M A, Cohen B I, Dorland W, Hammett G W, Kim C, Kinsey J E, Kotschenreuther M, Kritz A H, Lao L L, Mandrekas J, Nevins W M, Parker S E, Redd A J, Shumaker D E, Sydora R and Weiland J 2000 Comparisons and physics basis of tokamak transport models and turbulence simulations Physics of Plasmas **7** 969-83
- [21] Cziegler I, Hubbard A E, Hughes J W, Terry J L and Tynan G R 2017 Turbulence Nonlinearities 454 Shed Light on Geometric Asymmetry in Tokamak Confinement Transitions *Physical Review* Letters **118**
- [22] Winsor and Niels 1968 Geodesic Acoustic Waves in Hydromagnetic Systems **11** 2448-0
- [23] Cziegler I 2011 Turbulence and transport phenomena in edge and scrape-off-layer plasmas. Massachusetts Institute of Technology) p 178 p.
- 459 [24] Dominguez A 2012 Study of density fluctuations and particle transport at the edge of I-mode plasmas. Massachusetts Institute of Technology) p 208 p.
- [25] Hinton F L and Hazeltine R D 1976 Theory of Plasma Transport in Toroidal Confinement Systems Reviews of Modern Physics **48** 239-308
- [26] Huba J D, United States. Office of Naval Research. and Naval Research Laboratory (U.S.) 2009 NRL plasma formulary. (Washington, DC: Naval Research Laboratory ,) p 1 online resource (71 pages)
- [27] Sauter O, Angioni C and Lin-Liu Y R 1999 Neoclassical conductivity and bootstrap current 467 formulas for general axisymmetric equilibria and arbitrary collisionality regime *Physics of* Plasmas **6** 2834-9
- [28] Hinton F L and Rosenbluth M N 1999 Dynamics of axisymmetric (E x B) and poloidal flows in tokamaks Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion **41** A653-A62
- [29] Xiao Y, Catto P J and Molvig K 2007 Collisional damping for ion temperature gradient mode driven zonal flow Physics of Plasmas **14**
- 473 [30] Manz P, Lauber P, Nikolaeva V E, Happel T, Ryter F, Birkenmeier G, Bogomolov A, Conway G D, Manso M E, Maraschek M, Prisiazhniuk D and Viezzer E 2015 Geodesic oscillations and the weakly coherent mode in the I-mode of ASDEX Upgrade Nuclear Fusion **55**
- [31] Kishimoto H, Sakai N, Yamaji K, Horita T, Brito M E, Yokokawa H, Amezawa K and Uchitnoto Y 2008 Anomalous transport property at surface and interface of metal/rare earth doped ceria Solid State Ionics **179** 1343-6
-