# <u>Cross-scale Interaction between</u> <u>Microturbulence and Meso-scale Reversed Shear</u> <u>Alfven Eigenmode in DIII-D Plasmas</u>

#### P. Liu, X. Wei, Z. Lin, W. W. Heidbrink, G. R. McKee, G. J. Choi, J. H. Nicolau, G. Brochard, W. Zhang, N. Gorelenkov, and ITER IO



#### US DOE SciDAC ISEP Center and ITER headquarter



### > Motivations

Global simulations in DIII-D shot #159243----- New physics of cross-scale interaction underling EP transport & Validation of GTC nonlinear EM model

Solution Global simulations in ITER steady state scenario #131041-------Prediction of  $\alpha$  particle confinement in burning plasmas **Confinement of EP determines the performance of future burning plasmas** 

- EP produced by heating source and fusion reaction can excite AE
- Anomalous EP loss cause by AE reduces the heating efficiency and threatens 'ignition' condition
- The performance of future fusion reactors is crucially dependent on the good confinement of EP
- Understanding the AE & EP on existing machines is needed for extrapolating EP confinement properties to burning plasma

- P. Lauber, Physics Reports 533, 33 (2013)
- L. Chen and F. Zonca, Reviews of Modern Physics 88, 015088 (2016)

<sup>•</sup> W. W. Heidbrink, Physics of Plasmas 27, 055501 (2008)

### **Prediction of EP transport requires integrated simulations**

- Strong coupling of EP with thermal plasmas leads to uncertainties on the explanation of AE nonlinear dynamics and EP confinement
- Simulations of EP coupling with thermal plasmas must incorporate microturbulence and MHD instabilities with kinetic effects of both EP and thermal plasmas on an equal footing.
- EP transport prediction is based on the progression from linear dispersion to nonlinear dynamics and eventually to EP transport
- Selected well diagnosed DIII-D discharge #159243, linear V&V studies have been achieved
- Nonlinear validation studies in DIII-D and extrapolating to ITER are reported here
- C. Collins et al., Physics Review Letters 116, 095001 (2016)
- S. Taimourzadeh et al., Nuclear Fusion 59, 066006 (2019)

# **Outline**

Global simulations in DIII-D shot #159243----- New physics of cross-scale interaction underling EP transport & Validation of GTC nonlinear EM model

### **Co-existence of RSAE & ITG in DIII-D shot # 159243**

#### ≻ AE in DIII-D #159243



> High-n ITG have smaller f and  $\gamma$ ; broader mode structure overlapping with RSAE ➤ Low-n RSAE have larger f and γ; mode localizes near  $q_{min}$ 



• ITG n = 14,16 dominate

• H. Wang et al., Plasma Sci. Technol. 23, 015101 (2021)

No steady state AE turbulence and EP transport in single scale simulation

- > Multiple-n simulation n=0-10 & dominant nonlinear mode n=4,5.
- Huge initial burst & quenched nonlinear phase also observed, no steady state, contradicting experiment.
- > Coulomb collisional effects of EP negligible due to high temperature.



• P. Liu et al., Reviews of Modern Plasma Physics 7, 15(2023)

### **Cross scale coupling between microturbulence and AE**

- EP scattering by microturbulence affect EP phase space dynamics
  - M3D-K shows that added diffusivity plays similar role as collision in TAE saturation
  - RBQ shows that large pitch angle scattering due to microturbulence can lead to steady state AE amplitude evolution
- Zonal fields generated by and self-regulation of both AE and microturbulence
  - GYRO shows that saturation of TAE/EPM needs zonal flow from stronger ITG/TEM
- Nonlinear generation of KAW due to scattering of TAE by DW can improve the  $\alpha$  particle confinement

- E. M. Bass et al., Physics of Plasmas 17, 112319 (2010)
- J. Lang et al., Physics of Plasmas 18, 055902 (2011)
- N. N. Gorelenkov et al., Physics Letters A 386, 126944 (2021)

• L. Chen et al., Nuclear Fusion 62, 094001 (2022)

#### **Nonlinear dynamics of electromagnetic ITG**

≻ EM ITG simulation (n=[0,25]) without EP

Linearly stable ITG force driven by strong unstable ITG

> Thermal ion heat conductivity  $\chi_i \sim 1 m^2/s$ 

 $> \chi_i \text{ exhibit a lower value at } q = 3 \text{ resonant}$ surfaces



<sup>•</sup> P. Liu et al., Nuclear Fusion 64, 076007 (2024)

#### **ITG microturbulence regulates RSAE**

≻ Coupling ITG & RSAE (n=[0,25] with EP)

- > ITG decrease the linear growth of RSAE
- ➢ ITG suppress the initial saturation of RSAE

Quasi steady state RSAE

Regular 2D mode structure in the nonlinear phase

•







#### **Quasi-steady state EP transport observed**

- > ITG reduces  $D_f$  by a factor of 5 at initial saturation of RSAE
- > ITG enhances  $D_f$  to experimental level in nonlinear phase





• P. Liu et al., Physical Review Letters 128, 185001(2022)

P. Liu et al., Nuclear Fusion 64, 076007 (2024)

#### **Simulation results agree with DIII-D measurement**

► Radius structures and amplitudes of  $\delta T_e$ from GTC gyrokinetic simulation, for the first time, agree with ECE measurement in DIII-D

→ Density fluctuations  $\delta n_e/n_0 \sim 0.6\%$ , close to BES measurement 0.3 - 0.4%



- P. Liu et al., Physical Review Letters 128, 185001(2022)
- W. W. Heidbrink, Physics of Plasmas 27, 055501 (2017)

#### **Mechanism 1: ITG scatters nonlinearly trapped EP**

> EP scattering by ITG restores EP profile, resulting in quasi-steady state AE turbulence



• P. Liu et al., Nuclear Fusion 64, 076007 (2024)

#### **Mechanism 2: Reduction of zonal flows in the presence of ITG**

- Zonal flows generated by RSAE carried by thermal plasma
- ➢ ITG reduces amplitude of  $\delta n_{i00}$  and δn<sub>e00</sub>, especially for low k<sub>r</sub> component
- Longer wavelength zonal flows are more effective to suppress RSAE



• P. Liu et al., Nuclear Fusion 64, 076007 (2024)

#### **Dependence of RSAE turbulence on ITG turbulence intensity**

Change  $\nabla T_i$  to provide weaker or stronger background ITG

Weaker ITG microturbulence leads to smaller EP transport

Stronger ITG microturbulence leads to larger EP transport



<sup>•</sup> P. Liu et al., Physical Review Letters 128, 185001(2022)

### **RSAE reduces thermal ion heat transport driven by ITG**



• P. Liu et al., Nuclear Fusion 64, 076007 (2024)

# **Effects of RSAE on stronger ITG are weaker**

> Suppression of  $\chi_i$  becomes weaker

 $\succ \chi_i$  close to experimental measures



• P. Liu et al., Nuclear Fusion 64, 076007 (2024)

# **Outline**

Global simulations in ITER steady state scenario #131041- -----Prediction of α particle confinement in burning plasmas

### **Equilibrium and linear dispersion**

- Solution Global GTC simulations include Beam ion (anisotropic slowing down) &  $\alpha$  particle (isotropic slowing down).
- > Strong unstable BAE/RSAE with n = [15,30] near  $q_{min}$  flux surface.





Quasi steady state AE turbulence in multiple AE (n = [10, 30] & ZF)

- → Within 0.1 0.15*ms*, linearly stable n=10,11 BAE nonlinearly generated with  $\gamma_{10} \sim \gamma_{ZF} \sim 2\gamma_{30}$ , and saturate at higher level.
- > Quasi steady state AE turbulence after nonlinear saturation.



### Huge EP transport driven by multiple n AE

- Huge EP transport after 0.18ms in whole radial domain.
- AE turbulence and EP transport modulated by GAM/BAE after nonlinear saturation.



\_\_\_n<sub>α,int</sub>/n<sub>e0</sub>

7.5

 $-n_{\alpha,end}/n_{e0}$ 



> Profile relaxation of beam ion &  $\alpha$  particle within 0.14*ms*. (Much smaller than the EP slowing down time)





- ≻ GK simulation in DIII-D.
  - Simulations focusing on AE only could not explain experimental observations.
  - Regulation of ITG microturbulence leads to quasi-steady state RSAE
  - ✤ RSAE modulates thermal ion heat flux driven by ITG
  - For a stronger background ITG, the regulation of the RSAE by the ITG is stronger, while the RSAE effects on the ITG are weaker
  - Qualitative agreement between GTC simulation and experimental measurements validates nonlinear physical model of GTC
- ➢ GK simulation in ITER steady state scenario.
  - ✤ Multiple strong unstable modes.
  - Quasi steady state AE turbulence & large EP transport in multiple AE.
  - Unrealistic  $\alpha$  particle and NBI profiles in ITER SS?