



## **Development of the Gyrokinetic-MHD Energetic Particle Code GMEC and Initial Simulation Results**

Guoyong Fu, Peiyou Jiang, Zhaoyang Liu, Shiyang Liu, Lulu Zhang, Jian Bao\*

## IFTS, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China \*Institute of Physics, CAS, Beijing, China

The 4th Trilateral International Workshop on Energetic Particle Physics LiuTong Hotel, Hangzhou, China, Oct 26-27, 2024

## Outline

## Introduction

- Gyrokinetic-MHD Hybrid Model
- Development of GMEC
- GMEC verifications
- GMEC applications
- Summary
- Future Work

## Introduction

- Energetic particle (EP) physics is crucial for burning plasmas:
  - EP-driven Alfvenic modes can strongly modify alpha particle distribution and induce alpha particle losses in burning plasmas;
  - EP can have significant effects on thermal plasmas.
- The goal of this work is development of a highly efficient code for simulating energetic particle-driven Alfven modes and energetic particle transport in burning plasmas such as ITER;
- An initial version of GMEC has been developed based on gyrokinetic-MHD hybrid model. Work is in progress for adding fluid nonlinear physics as well as applying code to present tokamak experiments and future burning plasmas.

*P. Y. Jiang et al, Phys. Plasmas* 31, 073904 (2024 *Z.Y. Liu et al, Phys. Plasmas* 31, 073905 (2024)

## **Comparison with existing hybrid codes**

codes	model	type	Numerical methods
MEGA	Kinetic-MHD	hybrid	explicit, finite difference, PIC
M3D-K	Kinetic-MHD	hybrid	Semi-implicit, finite element, PIC
M3D-C1-K	Kinetic-MHD	hybrid	Semi-implicit, finite element, PIC
NIMROD	Kinetic-MHD	hybrid	Semi-implicit, finite element, PIC
CLT-K	Kinetic-MHD	hybrid	Explicit, finite difference, PIC
GMEC	Gyrokinetic-MHD	hybrid	Explicit, finite difference, PIC

Compared with existing hybrid codes, GMEC has more thermal ion kinetic physics, and is highly optimized for computational efficiency. GMEC uses field-aligned coordinates and symbolic method for coding.

## Outline

### • Introduction

- Gyrokinetic-MHD Hybrid Model
- Development of GMEC
- GMEC verifications
- GMEC applications
- Summary
- Future Work

## **Gyrokinetic MHD Hybrid Equations**

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \bigg[ \frac{n_i e^2}{T_i} (1 - \Gamma_0) \Phi \bigg] + \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \frac{J_{\parallel}}{B} + (\mathbf{B} + \delta \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla \frac{\delta J_{\parallel}}{B} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla B}{B^3} \cdot \nabla \left( \delta P_{\parallel} + \delta P_{\perp} \right) = 0 \end{split}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bigg( \frac{\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi}{B} + \mathbf{V}_{*i} \bigg) \cdot \nabla , \\ \Gamma_0 = e^{-k_{\perp}^2 \rho_i^2} \mathbf{I}_0 \left( k_{\perp}^2 \rho_i^2 \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{\parallel} = -\nabla_{\parallel} \Phi + \frac{1}{e n_e} \nabla_{\parallel} P_e, \\ \frac{d}{dt} P_e = -\gamma \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_e P_e, \\ \mathbf{V}_e = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2} - \frac{\delta J_{\parallel}}{e n_e} \mathbf{b}, \end{split}$$

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{1}{B^{**}} \left\{ v_{\parallel} B^{*} - b \times \left[ \langle E \rangle - \frac{\mu}{q_{s}} \nabla \left( B + \langle \delta B \rangle \right) \right] \right\},$$
$$m_{s} \frac{dv_{\parallel}}{dt} = \frac{q_{s}}{B^{**}} B^{*} \cdot \left[ \langle E \rangle - \frac{\mu}{q_{s}} \nabla \left( B + \langle \delta B \rangle \right) \right],$$
$$B^{*} = B + \langle \delta B \rangle + \frac{mv_{\parallel}}{e} \nabla \times b, \quad B^{**} = B^{*} \cdot b \circ$$
$$E = -\nabla \Phi - \frac{\partial A_{\parallel}}{\partial t} b,$$
$$\delta B = \nabla \times \left( A_{\parallel} b \right) \circ$$

## **Development of GMEC**

- Field-aligned coordinates
- Discretization methods
- Compile-time Symbolic Solver (CSS)
- $\delta f$  PIC method for solving the EP and thermal ion distributions
- Equilibrium interface with VMEC and DESC
- Code optimization

### **Field-aligned Coordinates and Meshes**

- Flux coordinates  $(\psi, \theta, \varphi)$
- Field-aligned coordinates

$$x = \frac{\psi - \psi_1}{\Delta \psi}, \quad y = \theta, \quad z = \varphi - \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} d\theta' v(\psi, \theta')$$

 $v(\boldsymbol{\psi},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} / \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}$ 



图 1.1: (x, y, z)坐标系中 3×6×6 的三维均匀网格



图 1.2: (ψ,θ,φ)坐标系中的磁力线覆盖整个磁面

## **Discretization Methods**

• 4<sup>th</sup> order finite difference in space (5 point central difference);

• 4<sup>th</sup> order Runge Kutta method in time advance.

#### **Peiyou Jiang**

## **Compile-time Symbolic Solver (CSS)**

Field-aligned coordinates brings the benefit that relatively few grids are needed in the parallel coordinate.  $\nabla^2_{\rm II} \ll \nabla^2_{\rm II}$ 

However, expanding equations in curvilinear coordinates suffers both numerical and physical complexities, especially with high order finite difference.

Compile-time Symbolic Solver (CSS) is developed to solve PDE and ODE in finite difference method.

CSS is a general-purpose framework. It aims to generate finite difference codes quickly and greatly reduce the risks of coding errors. Furthermore, the codes generated by CSS have better performance than conventional approaches.

CSS is a C++20 metaprogramming code. All the symbolic operations are completed at compile time.



Mode structure of IBM in  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  coordinate



Biased difference scheme in boundary

## Symbolic implementation of GMEC

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\parallel} &= \vec{b} \cdot \nabla & \text{auto Nabla}_{p} = b^{*} \text{Nabla} \\
\delta \overline{\omega} &= \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{v_{A}^{2}} \nabla_{\perp} \delta \Phi & \text{auto dw} = \text{Div}^{*} (\_va2^{*} \text{Nabla}^{*} dPhi) \\
\delta J_{\parallel} &= -\frac{1}{B} \nabla \cdot \left( B^{2} \nabla_{\perp} \left( \frac{\delta A_{\parallel}}{B} \right) \right) & \text{auto dJp} = -\text{Div}^{*} (Bs2^{*} \text{Nabla}^{*} (dA/Bs))/Bs \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \overline{B} &= \nabla \times \left( \delta A_{\parallel} \vec{b} \right) & \text{auto dB} = \text{Cross}(\text{Nabla}, dA^{*} b) \\
\vec{v}_{*i} & \text{auto v\_star} = \text{Cross}(b, \text{Nabla}^{*} Phi) \\
\vec{v}_{e} \in \eta_{B} \vec{b} \times \nabla P_{i} & \frac{1}{V_{e}} \vec{b} \times \nabla P_{i} & \frac{1}{V_{e}} \vec{b} \times \nabla P_{i} \\
&= \frac{1}{B} \vec{b} \times \nabla \delta \Phi & \text{auto v\_EB} = \text{Cross}(b, \text{Nabla}^{*} Phi)/Bs \\
\vec{v}_{d} &= \frac{1}{B} \vec{b} \times \vec{\kappa} & \text{auto v\_d} = \text{Cross}(b, \text{kappa})/Bs
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \overline{\omega} = - \vec{v}_{*i} \cdot \nabla \delta \overline{\omega} + \delta \overrightarrow{B} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{J_{\parallel}}{B} \right) + \overrightarrow{B} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\delta J_{\parallel}}{B} \right) + 2 \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \delta P$$

### Interface with equilibrium codes (VMEC and DESC)

$(R, Z, \phi) \rightarrow (\psi, \theta_V, \xi_V) / (\rho, \theta_D, \xi_D) \rightarrow (\psi, \theta_B, \xi_B)$ Cylindrical VMEC/DESC Boozer	$f \rightarrow (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x', y', z')$ Field-aligned Shifted metric			
$\partial(R, Z, \phi) \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\psi} R & \partial_{\theta} R & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	[D. W. Dudt. 2022 POP]			
$M = \frac{\partial (\psi, \varphi, \varphi)}{\partial (\psi, \theta, \xi)} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\psi} Z & \partial_{\theta} Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad g^{\psi \theta \phi} = M^{-1} g^{RZ\phi} (M^{-1})^T$				
$M_{2} = \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(\psi, \theta, \xi)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -I & -\nu & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad g^{xyz} = M_{2}g^{\psi\theta\phi}(M_{2})^{T}$				
$I'_{s}(\psi,\theta) = \int_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta} \nu(\psi,\theta') d\theta'$				
$z'_0 = 0, I'_s = 0, \partial_x I'_s = 0, \partial_y I'_s = v$				

 $\partial_{\psi}g^{ij}, \partial_{\theta}g^{ij}, \partial_{\psi}g_{ij}, \partial_{\theta}g_{ij}$ 

## **Code Flow Chart**



## **Parallelization Methods**

• MPI for 1D domain decomposition along magnetic field direction;

• Intel TBB (Thread Building Block) for each domain.

# GMEC is highly optimized and much faster than a typical gyrokinetic code



Runge-Kutta: 2 order Gyro-Average: 4 points Shiyang Liu

#### A GPU version of GMEC has been developed with high efficiency



 $n = 6, TAE, 256 \times 64 \times 16$  $N_p = 1.6 \times 10^7$  $dt = 0.1R_0/v_A, t = 250R_0/v_A$ 

A800: 52.3*s* 

one A800  $\approx 640$  cores

Shiyang Liu, poster this afternoon!

## Outline

## Introduction

- Gyrokinetic-MHD Hybrid Model
- Development of GMEC
- GMEC verifications
- GMEC applications
- Summary
- Future Work

## Benchmark with MAS: ideal ballooning mode



Relative difference with MAS is less than 4%

n = 20 Ideal ballooning mode, GMEC costs 15 seconds using 448 cores.

## Benchmark with MAS: diamagnetic drift effects

0.09 0.09 GMEC MAS 0.08 0.08 0.07 0.07 0.06 0.06 ∼ 0.05 3 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.02 GMEC MAS 0.02 0.01 10 12 16 6 8 14 n

Relative differences for  $\omega$  are less than 1% and those for  $\gamma$  are about 10%



## Benchmark with MAS: tearing mode



# Verification of single particle orbits in equilibrium magnetic field



图 1.5: 粒子能量 E = leV,速度 pitch 角  $v_{\parallel} / v = 0.01$ , 0.3 的粒子轨道

**Zhaoyang Liu** 

#### **Verification of Single Particle Orbit in Equilibrium Magnetic Field**



图 1.7: 粒子能量 E = 1 MeV, 速度 pitch 角  $v_{\parallel} / v = 0.01$ , 0.3 的粒子轨道



图 1.8: 不同κ下的粒子轨道宽度,极向运动频率和环向运动频率

Zhaoyang Liu

## **Verification of GMEC for an n=3 TAE** with a circular tokamak equilibrium

Zhaoyang Liu

 $R_0 = 3m$ ,  $a_0 = 1m$ ,  $B_0 = 1T$ , • Equilibrium parameters

$$\psi_1 = 0.01$$
,  $\psi_2 = 1$ ,  $n(\psi) = 1$ ,  $P(\psi) = 0$ ,

$$q(\psi) = a_0 + a_1 \psi + a_2 \psi^2$$
,  $a_0 = 1.6667$ ,  $a_1 = 0.5$ ,  $a_2 = 0.8333$  •

 $58v_{\rm max}$  ° • EP parameters N

$$f_0(E, P_{\varphi}) = \frac{cH(v_{\max} - v)}{v^3 + v_c^3} \exp\left(-\frac{\psi}{0.25}\right), \quad \beta_h = 0.02 \ .$$

$$M = 1$$
,  $Q = 2$ ,  $v_{\text{max}} = 2v_{A0}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.072$ m,  $v_c = 0.5$ 

• Numerical grids (1/3 torus for n=3 mode) 
$$n_x = 256$$
,  $n_y = 64$ ,  $n_z = 16$ .

• 32MPI  $\times$  28Threads,  $\Delta t = 0.1$ , 20k steps, computation time: 0.4 hour on the Tianhe supercomputer

## **Verification with M3D-K for an n=3 TAE**



图 1.18: 实频率和增长率随高能量粒子 β, 的变化

### **Verification of GMEC: RSAEs in DIII-D**





S. Taimourzadeh et al 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 066006

### Verification of GMEC: n=6 TAE



#### Verification of GMEC: n=6 TAE A. Könies *et al* 2018 *Nucl. Fusion* 58 126027



## Outline

## Introduction

- Gyrokinetic-MHD Hybrid Model
- Development of GMEC
- GMEC verifications
- GMEC applications
- Summary
- Future Work

#### Nonlinear results: single n=6 TAE



#### multi-n simulations of the n=6 TAE benchmark case



#### Nonlinear results: n=4 RSAE in DIII-D





### n=10 TAE in CFETR





## Summary

- An initial version of GMEC has been developed for simulating EP-driven Alfven modes and EP transport in tokamak plasmas. Initial verifications have been done successfully.
- A Compile-time Symbolic Solver (CSS) has been developed to aid the development of GMEC. CSS simplifies the coding greatly with great efficiency and small probability for code errors. It also makes the code easily extensible.
- Initial results indicate GMEC is highly efficient and is significantly faster than existing codes.

## **Future Work**

- Complete the full GMEC model with MHD nonlinearity.
- Applications of GMEC to present tokamak experiments (EAST, HL-3, DIII-D, KSTAR etc) and future burning plasmas;
- Collaborations are welcome for code benchmark and GMEC applications.

## MHD part of gyrokinetic-MHD hybrid model (GMEC)

#### Linearized four-field MHD model

37

## **Boundary Conditions**

$$\Phi(\psi,\theta+2\pi,\varphi)=\Phi(\psi,\theta,\varphi),$$

 $\Phi(\psi,\theta,\varphi+2\pi) = \Phi(\psi,\theta,\varphi) \circ$ 

$$\Phi(x, y, z + 2\pi) = \Phi(x, y, z) \circ$$

$$\Phi(x, y + 2\pi, z) = \Phi(x, y, z - z_{\text{shift}}),$$

$$z_{\text{shift}} \equiv \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta' v(\psi, \theta') \circ$$



图 1.1: (x, y, z)坐标系中 3×6×6 的三维均匀网格

## **Gyrokinetic MHD Hybrid Model**

- Electrons are described using fluid model;
- Both EPs and thermal ions are described using gyrokinetic model;
- Electromagnetic perturbations are represented by two potentials (electric potential  $\Phi$  and parallel vector potential  $A_{\parallel}$ ), thus compressional Alfven waves are not included;
- The system of equations:
  - Gyrokinetic vorticity equations for  $\Phi$ ;
  - Parallel Ohm's law  $A_{\parallel}$ ;
  - Equation of state for electron pressure;
  - Gyrokinetic equations for distribution of thermal ions and Eps .